



Report Reference Number: 2019/0110/COU

To:	Planning Committee
Date:	4 th December 2019
Author:	Rebecca Leggott (Senior Planning Officer)
Lead Officer:	Ruth Hardingham (Planning Development Manager)

APPLICATION	2019/0110/COU	PARISH:	Ryther Cum Ossendyke	
NUMBER:			Parish Council	
APPLICANT:	Spinko Ltd	VALID DATE:	15th February 2019	
		EXPIRY DATE:	12th April 2019	
PROPOSAL:	Proposed change of use of land and buildings to that of a wedding venue including the creation of a total of 15 bedrooms for wedding guests, erection of 2 No lychgates, formation of a car park, demolition of some existing buildings, and formation of extension to accommodate 5 bedrooms, common room and kitchen to be constructed following the demolition of the pole barn			
LOCATION:	Far Farm Mill Lane Ryther Tadcaster North Yorkshire LS24 9EG			
RECOMMENDATION:	Approve subject to a Unilateral Undertaking			

This application has been brought back to Planning Committee following further discussions with the applicant to address concerns raised in terms of residential amenity.

This application is to be determined by the Planning Committee since it does not strictly accord with Policy EMP8 (1) and (2) of the Selby District Local Plan as identified in the report below. However, since the proposal would comply with all other relevant criteria, it is considered that there are material considerations which support the application and the recommendation is for approval subject to a Unilateral Undertaking.

Furthermore, the July Planning Committee report and October Planning Committee report are appended to this Planning Committee report

1. Introduction and background

The Site

- 1.1. The proposal is as described above and as shown in the accompanying plans and drawings.
- 1.2. The application site is located outside any defined development limits and is therefore located within the open countryside. The application site is located within an agricultural setting with a number of dwellings within proximity. Furthermore, the majority of the application site is located within Flood Zone 1 with part of the access lying within Flood Zone 2. However, it is noted that the application site would be accessed from an existing access point and road.
- 1.3. Further to this, the application site includes agricultural land and a farmstead, which was previously associated with a piggery. It is also noted that there are two residential properties within the red line boundary of the application which confirms that they are also owned by the applicant. Further to this, the application site is located within proximity to other part residential and part agricultural properties which are surrounded by open fields.

The Proposal

- 1.4. The application is for a proposed change of use of land and buildings previously in use as a piggery to that of a wedding venue. The proposal includes the conversion and extension of an existing brick built agricultural building to an accommodation block to create 20 bedrooms in total; the erection of two lynch gates; formation of a car park with a capacity for 67 cars and the construction of a wedding venue building following works to an existing portal framed agricultural building.
- 1.5. It is noted from a site visit that the application is part retrospective, which includes key changes such as the demolition of a number of buildings, the creation of a new access and the significant re build of the venue building.
- 1.6. Further to this, it is evident from a review of the plans and drawings and a site visit that the proposed scheme involves significant rebuilding works to an existing portal frame barn, due to the retrospective insertion of new structural beams. The retrospective rebuilding and alterations have taken place inside and outside the fabric of the existing building and the works have removed some of the fabric and character of the existing building. In addition, works include site clearance for the car parking area and alterations to the road into the farmstead. It should be noted that there is no new access to the site from the adopted highway proposed.
- 1.7. From a review of the plans and drawings and from a site visit the proposed scheme would involve signification external changes to existing buildings.

Planning History

• The following historical applications are considered to be relevant to the determination of this application:

- 2007/0975/FUL, Resubmission of withdrawn application 8/65/4D/PA (2007/0549/FUL) single storey extension to side following demolition of existing garage Far Farm, Mill Lane, Ryther, Tadcaster, North Yorkshire, Decision: PER, Decision Date: 16-OCT-07
- 2007/0549/FUL, Single storey extension to the north elevation and 1st floor extension above existing garage, Far Farm, Mill Lane, Ryther, Tadcaster, North Yorkshire, Decision: WDN, Decision Date: 07-JUN-07
- CO/1980/27549, Erection Of A Pig Weaner House, Far Farm Moor Lane Ryther, Decision: PER, Decision Date: 09-JUN-80
- CO/1980/27549, Erection Of A Pig Weaner House, Far Farm Moor Lane Ryther, Decision: PER, Decision Date: 09-JUN-80
- CO/1991/1172, Outline application for the erection of an agricultural workers dwelling on land adjacent to Far Farm, Mill Lane, Ryther, Tadcaster, North Yorkshire, LS24 9EG, Decision: PER, Decision Date: 25-APR-91

2. Consultations and Publicity

- 2.1. The application has been advertised by site notices and adjoining neighbours have been notified directly, in order to comply with the Council's commitment with regard to publicity for planning applications.
- 2.2. **Parish Council** The Ryther Parish Council have raised no objections to the proposed development however have commented that they *"wish to highlight the need for careful consideration of planning regulations to provide for appropriate drainage from the site. Schemes that provide some water storage at times of high rainfall may be helpful to slow flows into water courses."*
- 2.3. NYCC Highways Canal Rd NYCC Highways most up to date comments have raised no objections subject to a condition relating to the access and verge crossing construction requirements. Further to this, an informative has been suggested which relates to a separate license being required from the Highway Authority in order to allow any works in the adopted highway.
- 2.4. Selby Area Internal Drainage Board The IDB have raised no objections to the proposed development subject to a condition relating to the any surface water discharge into any watercourse in, on, under or near the site requires consent from the IDB.
- 2.5. Land Use Planning Yorkshire Water Services Ltd No comments have been received from Yorkshire Water within the statutory consultation period.
- 2.6. **SuDS And Development Control Officer** The LLFA have raised no objections to the proposed development in principle. However, have stated that, "No details of the existing or proposed drainage network, the proposed permeable area that will replace hard standing or the current and proposed rates of discharge have been submitted."

SuDs have stated that, "only very basic drainage information has been submitted" and have requested that existing and proposed drainage rates be

submitted. Further to this, it is advised that a "greenfield" rate should be achieved.

The LLFA has recommended that the applicant provides further information before any planning permission is granted by the LPA. The following should be submitted and approved by the Local Planning Authority;

- Infiltration testing to BRE 365 standard to confirm infiltration rates and suitability for permeable surfacing.
- Details of the permeable surfacing to replace hard standing areas.
- Confirmation of proposed drainage network, including pipe sizes, gullies, outfalls etc.
- Confirmation of existing and proposed drainage rates.
- 2.7. **Environmental Health** Environmental Health's original comments from the 11th March 2019 raised objections to the proposed development. The concerns raised related to "unacceptable disturbance, most notably from noise pollution". However, the Environmental Health Officer advised that they would be able to remove their objection should the mixed residential and commercial uses within the application boundary be formally linked to the properties within the blue line.

Further to this, the following informatives were suggested by the EHO:

- The applicant has indicated the use of a package treatment plant for the disposal of foul sewage. It is advised that the installation of a new foul drainage system would require building regulation approval in addition to appropriate consent to discharge issued by the Environment Agency. The applicant may wish to consult the Environment Agency to ensure that the necessary consent will be granted.
- 2) The aggregated net rated thermal input of the biomass boiler is not specified and, therefore, may be subject to an environmental permit under the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016.

Following discussions with the applicant and advice from legal services the LPA were unable to link the occupancy of the two dwellings within the blue line to the use of the wedding venue. However, the applicant provided a Preliminary Noise Report which the Environmental Health Officer provided comments on the 8th August which stated that their objections still remained.

The applicant also submitted a full Acoustic Report of which Environmental Health provided comments on the 16th September 2019. In summary these confirmed Environmental Health's objections to the proposed development without a formal link with the properties within the applicant's ownership. Further to this, it is noted that the Environmental Health Officer and the applicant's Acoustic Consultant had a discussion to which it was agreed that a 2 year temporary permission on the use of the venue building as a wedding venue may be acceptable.

Further discussions have taken place between the applicant and the Environmental Health Officer and the Environmental Health Officer's most up to date position reflects that of their original comments. Overall, the Environmental Health Officer advises that they would be able to remove their objection should the mixed residential and commercial uses within the application boundary be formally linked to the properties within the redline boundary. Subject to this link, no conditions are requested. However, two informatives are advised to be attached relating to the use of a package treatment plant and the biomass boiler.

- 2.8. **North Yorkshire Bat Group** No comments have been received from the North Yorkshire Bat Group within the statutory consultation period.
- 2.9. **Yorkshire Wildlife Trust** No comments have been received from Yorkshire Wildlife Trust within the statutory consultation period.
- 2.10. **County Ecologist** NYCC Ecology have raised no objections to the proposed development subject to the following conditions: (1) compliance with recommendations set out in the Bat Emergence Survey and (2) Invasive Weed Management Plan to be submitted prior to commencement. Further to this, an informative is suggested relating to works taking place outside bird nesting season (March to August) or after a competent person has confirmed that no active nests are present.
- 2.11. **Public Rights Of Way Officer** The public rights of way officer has raised no objections subject to an informative relating to, no works being undertaken which will create an obstruction, either permanent or temporary, to the Public Right of Way adjacent to the proposed development.
- 2.12. **Network Rail** Network rail have raise no objection to the proposed development.
- 2.13. **Ainsty (2008) Internal Drainage Board** The IDB have raised concerns for the proposed development and have advised that the following information would be required prior to any permissions being granted:
 - This includes details of surface water drainage which would not adversely affect the surface water drainage of the area and amenity of adjacent properties.
 - Appropriate testing to consider the usage of soakaways (existing or newly constructed).
 - Confirmation of permissions to discharge into an existing water course.
 - Details of the existing capacity of the water course intended to be used and whether it can be demonstrated that there is currently positive drainage and a proven connection to the water course or sewer.

If the above can be satisfied the IDB would advise that the rate of discharge should be constrained at green field rates, as detailed within the planning comments submitted.

2.14. **Neighbour Summary** – All immediate neighbours were informed by letter, a site notice was erected and an advert placed in the local press. It is noted that 32 letters of support were received in relation to the original proposals relating to the design and sustainability of the proposal. However, following re consultation on the amended scheme no comments were received.

It should be noted that none of the letters of support received were from residents within the vicinity of the application site. Further to this, four letters of support were received from the applicant's Architect and his family members and a number of

letters were received from residents outside the Selby District. Therefore, limited weight has been applied to these.

- 2.15. **Contaminated Land Consultant** The contaminated land consultant has raised no objections to the proposed development. It is confirmed that the contaminated land reports are acceptable. However, a condition is advised relating to unexpected contamination.
- 2.16. **Environment Agency** The EA have raised no objections to the proposed development.

3. Site Constraints and Policy Context

Constraints

3.1. The site is in the open countryside without allocation.

Policy Context

- 3.2. Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 provides that "if regard is to be had to the development plan for the purpose of any determination to be made under the planning Acts the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise". This is recognised in paragraph 11 of the NPPF, with paragraph 12 stating that the framework does not change the statutory status of the development plan as the starting point for decision making.
- 3.3. The development plan for the Selby District comprises the Selby District Core Strategy Local Plan (adopted 22nd October 2013) and those policies in the Selby District Local Plan (adopted on 8 February 2005) which were saved by the direction of the Secretary of State and which have not been superseded by the Core Strategy.
- 3.4. On 17 September 2019 the Council agreed to prepare a new Local Plan. The timetable set out in the updated Local Development Scheme envisages adoption of a new Local Plan in 2023. Consultation on issues and options would take place early in 2020. There are therefore no emerging policies at this stage so no weight can be attached to emerging local plan policies.
- 3.5. The National Planning Policy Framework (February 2019) (NPPF) replaced the July 2018 NPPF, first published in March 2012. The NPPF does not change the status of an up to date development plan and where a planning application conflicts with such a plan, permission should not usually be granted unless material considerations indicate otherwise (paragraph 12). This application has been considered against the 2019 NPPF.
- 3.6. Annex 1 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) outlines the implementation of the Framework -

"213.existing policies should not be considered out-of-date simply because they were adopted or made prior to the publication of this Framework. Due weight should be given to them, according to their degree of consistency with this Framework (the closer the policies in the plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given)."

- 3.7. The principal Core Strategy Policies are:
 - SP1 Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development
 - SP2 Spatial Development Strategy
 - SP13 Scale and Distribution of Economic Growth
 - SP15 Sustainable Development and Climate Change Enhancing the Environment
 - SP16 Improving Resource Efficiency
 - SP18 Protecting and Enhancing the Environment
 - SP19 Design Quality
- 3.8. Policy SP1 of the Core Strategy outlines that "when considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework" and sets out how this will be undertaken. Policy SP1 is therefore consistent with the guidance in Paragraph 11 of the NPPF in relation to the presumption in favour of sustainable development and decision taking.
- 3.9. Development in the countryside is limited in SP2 to the replacement or extension of existing buildings, the re-use preferably for employment and well-designed new buildings of an appropriate scale which would contribute towards and improve the local economy.
- 3.10. Policy SP19 promotes high quality design and provides that development proposals should have regard to local character, identity and context including being accessible to all.

Selby District Local Plan

- 3.11. The relevant Selby District Local Plan Policies are as follows:
 - ENV1 Control of Development
 - ENV2 Environmental Pollution and Contaminated Land
 - EMP2 Location of Economic Development
 - EMP8 Conversion to Employment Use in the Countryside
 - T1 Development in Relation to the Highway Network
 - T2 Access to Roads
 - RT10 Tourism Related Development
 - RT11- Tourist Accommodation

National Guidance and Policy – National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), National Planning Practice Guide (NPPG)

3.12. The National Planning Policy Framework (July 2018) replaces the first NPPF published in March 2012. The Framework does not change the status of an up to date development plan and where an application conflicts with such a plan, permission should not usually be granted (para 12). This application has been considered against the 2018 NPPF.

4. Appraisal

- 4.1. The main issues to be taken into account when assessing this application are:
 - The Principle of the Development
 - Conversion/ not require substantial rebuilding or extensive alteration
 - Impact on Residential Amenity
 - Flood Risk and Drainage
 - Nature Conservation and Protected Species
 - Land Contamination
 - Rural Economy
 - Waste and Recycling
 - Rural Economy

The Principle of the Development

- 4.2. Policy SP1 of the Core Strategy outlines that "when considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework" and sets out how this will be undertaken. Policy SP1 is therefore consistent with the guidance in Paragraph 14 of the NPPF.
- 4.3. The application site is located outside any defined development limits and is therefore located within the open countryside
- 4.4. Policy SP2A (a) of the Core Strategy states, "The majority of new development will be directed to the towns and more sustainable villages depending on their future role as employment, retail and service centres, the level of local housing need, and particular environmental, flood risk and infrastructure constraints". Further to this, the Policy SP2A (b) states, development in the countryside (outside Development Limits) will be limited to the replacement or extension of existing buildings, the re-use of buildings preferably for employment purposes, and well-designed new buildings of an appropriate scale, which would contribute towards and improve the local economy and where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities, in accordance with Policy SP13.
- 4.5. Policy EMP8 of the Selby District Local Plan states the following:

"Proposals for the conversion of rural buildings for commercial, industrial or recreational uses, including appropriate farm diversification activities, will be permitted provided:

- 1) The building is structurally sound and capable of re-use without substantial re-building;
- 2) The proposed re-use or adaptation will generally take place within the fabric of the building and will not require extensive alteration, re-building and/or extension;
- 3) Conversion would not damage the fabric and character of a building of architectural or historical interest, or a traditional building which makes a positive contribution to the character of the countryside;
- 4) The form, bulk and general design of the building is in keeping with its surroundings;
- 5) The conversion of the building and ancillary works, such as the creation of incidental outside areas, and the provision of satisfactory access and

parking arrangements, would not have a significant effect on the character and appearance of the area, or encroach into open countryside; and

- 6) The proposal would not create conditions prejudicial to highway safety or which would have a significant adverse effect on local amenity."
- 4.6. Policy RT11 of the Selby District Local Plan relates to proposed for serviced or non-serviced tourist accommodation. Although this proposal is for a Wedding Venue it is considered that this policy would hold some weight in terms of providing a form of accommodation. Policy RT11 outlines the following:

"Proposals for serviced or non-serviced tourist accommodation, including extensions to existing premises, will be permitted provided:

1) The proposal would be located within defined development limits or, if located outside these limits, the proposal would represent the use of either;

- i. A building of either architectural or historic interest, or;
- *ii.* An existing structurally sound building which is suitable for its proposed function without major rebuilding or adaptation, or;
- *iii.* An extension to an existing hotel or other form of accommodation; and

2) The proposal would not create conditions prejudicial to highway safety or which would have a significant adverse effect on local amenity;

3) In meeting car parking and access requirements, there would not be a significant adverse effect on the setting of the building or the character of the area; and

4) The size and scale of the proposal would be appropriate to the locality.

In granting permission for self-catering accommodation, the local planning authority will ensure that a condition restricting the maximum period of occupation of the premises is applied.

- 4.7. The proposal involves the part retrospective change of use of land and buildings to include the conversion and extension of an existing brick built agricultural building to an accommodation block to create 20 bedrooms in total; the erection of two lynch gates; formation of a car park with a capacity for 67 cars and the construction of a wedding venue building following works to an existing portal framed agricultural building.
- 4.8. The application site is located outside any defined development limits and therefore within the open countryside and the proposals would involve the conversion of two existing buildings for employment use. The proposals would be acceptable in principle in terms of Policy SP2A (b). However, proposals that are acceptable in principle are still required to meet the policy tests set out within this policy. This includes whether the proposed development would contribute towards or maintain the vitality of rural communities, in accordance with policy SP13.

- 4.9. Where the proposed scheme may be acceptable in principle it would be required to meet the policy tests set out in in Local Plan Policy EMP8 (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and Policy RT11 (1), (2), (3) and (4) and all other relevant local and national policy tests.
- 4.10. The impact on acknowledged interests against the above policy tests is considered in the following parts of the report, including the issue of scale.

Conversion/ not require substantial rebuilding or extensive alteration

- 4.11. The principal tests in Policy EMP8 of the Selby District Local Plan of relevance are summarised below together with officer comments:
 - 1) Structurally sound and capable of re-use without substantial rebuilding

In respect of the proposed accommodation building, it is noted from a site visit that the building in question is a brick built agricultural building of substantial construction. This building is proposed to be converted and extended. Overall, it is considered that this building is of a substantial construction and capable of re- use without substantial rebuilding.

In respect of the proposed venue building, having carried out a site visit it is evident that the building on site was a portal frame building and not of substantial construction. It appears from the photographic evidence that substantial works would have been required. However, this work has already been carried out on site.

It is noted that the application is accompanied by a brief Structural Survey Report prepared by Finn and Finn Architects that identifies that the existing Venue buildings structural frame has been designed to support "all or part of" the cladding. Further to this, the report concludes that the timber frames were in good condition and no repair or replacement works were necessary. Overall, the report concludes that, the existing buildings are structurally sound.

In considering the building proposed to be used as the venue building this was a portal frame building and was not of a substantial construction. Further to this, from a site visit the works to this building are retrospective and it is evident that the works involve rebuilding and the inclusion of additional structural elements resulting in this building now being structurally sound. Overall, it is not considered that the venue building was of substantial construction and is evidently not capable of re- use without substantial rebuilding.

Therefore, it is not considered that the proposals on a whole comply with EMP8 (1).

2) The proposed re-use or adaptation would generally take place within the fabric of the building and will not require extensive alteration/ rebuilding or extension.

The scheme is considered to be the conversion of two existing rural buildings to employment use as a wedding venue. The works to the building proposed to be used for accommodation involved the demolition of a single storey portal frame projection and a two storey extension and the insertion of a numerous window and door openings. The works to the building proposed to be used for the venue building involve the demolition and rebuilding of part of the block work in brick around the outside and the re cladding of the external surfaces.

Overall it is considered that both buildings to be converted involve works which take place outside the fabric of the existing buildings and therefore the part retrospective development does not comply with Policy EMP8(2).

3) Conversion would not damage the fabric and character of a building of architectural or historic interest or a traditional building which makes a positive contribution to the character of the countryside

In respect of the building proposed to be used as accommodation this is considered to be a traditional brick built barn building of interest. The proposed development would reasonably conserve this building and would be in keeping with the character and form of the local vernacular and the scheme would conserve its appearance and bring it back into use in the local environment.

In respect of the building proposed to be used as the venue building the original portal frame barn was not considered to be a building of architectural or historical interest.

Overall, the scheme is considered to be in keeping with the character and form of the local vernacular and the scheme would conserve its appearance and bring it back into use in the local environment. The scheme is therefore in accordance with Policies EMP8 (3) and ENV1 of the Selby District Local Plan and SP19 of the Core Strategy.

4) The form, bulk and general design of the building is in keeping with its surroundings.

The overall scheme including the improved design to the existing buildings on site, the proposed landscaping and the overall improved design and appearance of the site from the previous use as a piggery.

The retrospective works as seen from a site visit are considered to improve the buildings design and appearance which would be more in keeping with the traditional brick built barn building on site. Overall, resulting in an improved and more attractive design. In considering this, the proposed development would be in keeping with the surroundings of the open countryside.

5) The conversion of the building and ancillary works, would not have a significant effect on the character and appearance of the area, or encroach into the open countryside.

The proposed development would include the creation of a large car park with a capacity for 67 cars. It is noted that this it would be in the location of previously demolished structures. Further to this, where the parking area may have some impacts on the open countryside this has been designed in such a way that the landscaping limits the impacts and would appear in character with the surrounding area by way of high quality landscaping.

6) The proposal would not create conditions prejudicial to highway safety or which would have a significant adverse effect on local amenity.

NYCC Highways have provided comments on the proposed development of which the latest comments following a site visit confirm that highways have no objections to the proposed development subject to a condition regarding, Private Access/ Verge Crossings: Construction Requirements. Further to this, an informative has been suggested regarding, a separate license being required from the Highway Authority to allow for works in any adopted highway.

From a site visit it is noted that the unadopted access road is very narrow with limited room for passing. However, following discussions with the applicant details have been provided, drawing reference, 18038.GA.01, and can be secured by way of condition.

Subject to the aforementioned conditions, it is considered that the proposal is acceptable in terms of highway safety in accordance with Policies ENV1 (2), T1 and T2 of the Local Plan and the advice contained within the NPPF.

Overall, in respect of Policy EMP8 of the Selby District Local Plan on balance the proposed development would be comply with criteria (2) of the policy and would be acceptable in respect of Local Plan Policy SP19 of the Core Strategy and paragraphs 34, 35 and 39 of the NPPF.

Design

- 4.12. Relevant policies in respect of design and impact on the character of the area include Policies ENV1 (1) and (4) and EMP9 of the Selby District Local Plan and Policy SP19 "Design Quality" of the Core Strategy. Significant weight should be attached to the Local Plan Policies ENV1 and EMP8 as they are broadly consistent with the aims of the NPPF. Relevant policies within the NPPF, which relate to design, include paragraphs 124, 127, 128, 130 and 131.
- 4.13. The application is for the proposal involves the part retrospective change of use of land and buildings to include the conversion and extension of an existing brick built agricultural building to an accommodation block to create 20 bedrooms in total; the erection of two lynch gates; formation of a car park and the construction of a wedding venue building following works to an existing portal framed agricultural building.
- 4.14. In respect of the proposed accommodation block this relates to the conversion and extension of an existing traditional brick built agricultural building. The proposal would involve the retention of all brick elements of the existing building. However, would involve the demolition of the pole barn and the erection of a metal clad extension with a pantile gable roof.
- 4.15. In respect of the proposed venue building this relates to the conversion of a portal frame barn building with a metal clad roof and part breeze block and part wooden clad walls. The works to this building are part retrospective and involve the demolition of some of the breeze block walls and the erection of traditional brick walls, new wooden cladding and metal sheet roofing.
- 4.16. The retrospective works as seen from a site visit are considered to improve the buildings design and appearance which would be more in keeping with the traditional brick built barn building on site. Overall, resulting in an improved and more attractive design. In considering this the proposed development would be in keeping with the surroundings of the open countryside.

- 4.17. In respect of the lynch gates these would be simple in form and small in scale. These would be simple structures and would be of a brick and timber construction.
- 4.18. In respect of the car park with capacity for 67 cars, this would be located in the place of a number of buildings which have now been demolished. It is noted that a car park of this size would not be typical of this location, within the open countryside. However, a detailed landscaping plan has been submitted which shows boundary treatments involving native species and a wide variety of different planting throughout the site. It is considered that the scheme of landscaping submitted would provide sufficient screening to the car park and the site as a whole in order to ensure the proposed development would be in keeping with the character and appearance of the area and would not appear to visually encroach into the open countryside in compared the proposed scheme to the previous structures and use of the site.
- 4.19. In terms of the proposed alterations although these would be extensive in terms of extensions, re cladding and new openings, it is considered that these would result in an overall improved design.
- 4.20. Having regard to the above, it is considered that the proposed scheme would have an acceptable siting, design and appearance and would not have any significant adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area in accordance with Policies ENV1 (1) and (4) and EMP8 of the Selby District Local Plan, Policy SP19 of the Core Strategy and paragraphs 124, 127, 128, 130 and 131 of the NPPF.

Impact on Residential Amenity

- 4.21. The neighbours have made no comments in relation to the current proposals. However, a number of letters of support have been submitted though none of which are from any of the neighbouring properties or from within the vicinity of the application site.
- 4.22. It is noted that amendments have been made to the plans and drawings to include the two residential dwellings within the ownership of the applicant within the redline boundary of the site.
- 4.23. Environmental Health were consulted on the application and have raised no objections to the proposed development subject to the linking both of the residential properties within the red line boundary to the proposed development and new business use. This is so as to prevent concerns for unacceptable disturbance and noise pollution for any future users.
- 4.24. The applicant and agent have confirmed that they are agreeable to entering into a legal agreement to ensure that the occupancy of the two residential dwellings are connected to the wedding venue and are not to be sold separately in order to protect the residential amenity of the dwellings. This would create a link between the two residential dwellings on site and the proposed development.
- 4.25. It is noted that a Management Plan has been submitted regarding the restrictions intended to be applied to the proposed Wedding Venue in terms of noise management. In summary, this includes the link between the two

residential dwellings on site and the proposed development, no fireworks will be allowed on site and no amplified music will be allowed outside the venue building.

- 4.26. In considering all of the above and the proposed legal agreement to link the two dwellings on site to the wedding venue and sufficient separation distance between the proposal and other surrounding properties and businesses the proposal is considered acceptable subject to a number of conditions as follows:(1) The use as a wedding venue and associated facilities only and (2) the two residential properties are not to be sold off separately.
- 4.27. The neighbours outside of the redline site have made no comments and given the separation and the alignment between properties and the controls to be imposed by the management plan it is considered that the proposal would not a have significant adverse effect upon adjoining residents in accordance with Policy ENV1 and ENV2 of the Selby District Local Plan and EMP8 of the Core Strategy.

Flood Risk and Drainage

- 4.28. Firstly addressing the issues of flood risk, the application site is within Flood Zone 1 and part of the access road is within Flood Zone 2. In considering this the Environment Agency advice that there standing advice is followed for more vulnerable developments within Flood Zone 2. This includes: (1) surface water management, (2) access and evacuation for any parts of a building below estimated floor levels and (3) Ground floor levels. In considering the standing advice details of a surface water management plan could be secured by way of condition.
- 4.29. In terms of drainage, the submitted application form sets out that surface water would be disposed of via existing water course and the foul sewage would be disposed of via a package treatment plant.
- 4.30. The Ouse and Derwent Internal Drainage Board and Yorkshire Water have been consulted on the proposals and neither have raised objections to the proposed development
- 4.31. The Selby Area IDB have raised no objections to the proposed development. It is also noted that the Selby Area IDB welcome the approach to reduce surface water run- off.
- 4.32. The Ainsty IDB have raised no objections to the proposed development. It is noted that the IDB have raised concerns that there would be an increase in impermeable surfaces on site. However, it should be noted that proposed development demonstrates a reduction in hard surface area.
- 4.33. Further to this, Environmental Health have advised that two informatives be attached to any permissions granted: (1) Package treatment plant shown outside of the red line boundary requiring approval and consent from the Environment Agency; and (2) Biomass boiler Environmental Permit.
- 4.34. It is also noted that the Environment Agency have raised no objections to the proposed development.

- 4.35. Foul water is going to a new package treatment plant which is shown on the drawings so does not need conditioning since it will be on any approved drawings. It is noted that, limited information has been provided in terms of the scheme for surface water drainage. However, it is considered that an acceptable scheme of drainage can be achieved therefore not withstanding the information submitted further information can be requested and subsequent measures secured by way of condition.
- 4.36. On the basis of the above the proposals are considered to be acceptable in terms of drainage, and flood risk and therefore accord with Policies SP15, SP16, SP19 of the Core Strategy, and paragraph 163 of the NPPF.

Nature Conservation and Protected Species

- 4.37. The application site is not a protected site for nature conservation nor is it known to be in close proximity to any site supporting protected species or any other species of conservation interest.
- 4.38. It is noted that a number of ecology surveys were submitted with this application including a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report and Bat Emergence Survey Report.
- 4.39. NYCC Ecology have been consulted and have commented that, the site is of low ecological value and there are no objections to the proposed development subject to the following conditions: (1) Compliance with the recommendations contained within the Bat Emergence Survey Report and (2) Submission of an Invasive Weed Management Plan. Further to this, an informative has been suggested regarding taking place outside of bird nesting season.
- 4.40. It should be noted that an Invasive Weed Management Plan has been submitted and comments have been sought from NYCC Ecology. In summary NYCC Ecology have no objections to this.
- 4.41. As such it is considered that the proposed would not harm any acknowledged nature conservation interests and therefore accords with ENV1 (5) of the Selby District Local Plan, Policy SP18 of the Core Strategy and paragraphs 170, 172 and 175 the NPPF.

Land Contamination

- 4.42. Relevant policies in respect of land contamination include Policy ENV2 of the Selby District Local Plan and Policy SP19 "Design Quality" of the Core Strategy.
- 4.43. The application is supported by the following: (1) Phase 1 Geoenvironmental Appraisal, (2) Remediation Strategy and (3) Verification Report.
- 4.44. Having sought comments from the Contaminated Land consultant, they have confirmed that the information provided is sufficient. However, it has been advised that a condition be attached relating to unexpected contamination.
- 4.45. Subject to the aforementioned condition, it is considered that the proposal would be acceptable in respect of land contamination and is, therefore, in accordance

with Policy ENV2 of the Selby District Local Plan, Policy SP19 of the Core Strategy and paragraphs 118, 170, 178 and 179 of the NPPF.

Waste and Recycling Facilities

4.46. With respect to Waste and Recycling, a contribution for such provision would not be required for a scheme of this scale. However a there are areas where bin storage could be provided in the application site.

Rural Economy

- 4.47. The proposal is for the change of use of land and buildings to that of a wedding venue including the creation of a total of 15 bedrooms for wedding guests, erection of 2 No lychgates, formation of a car park, demolition of some existing buildings, and formation of extension to accommodate 5 bedrooms, common room and kitchen to be constructed following the demolition of the pole barn.
- 4.48. Relevant policies within the NPPF, which relate to employment uses within rural areas, include paragraphs, 83 and 84.
- 4.49. In considering this, the applicant has submitted a number of supporting documents including a, Design and Access Statement, Planning Statement and brochures from an existing wedding venue run by the applicants regarding the benefits of the proposed development in relation to the rural economy. In summary this demonstrates the extensive rural economic benefits which would be associated with this type of proposed development. The proposed scheme will provide further employment, support local rural businesses i.e. florists, caterers, makeup artists, hairdressers, taxi firms and other small service businesses. Further to this, the proposed scheme would create numerous additional job opportunities.
- 4.50. It is noted that the applicant states that the proposed scheme would have environmental and sustainability benefits and further to this would involve farm diversification opportunities.
- 4.51. It is considered that the proposals will result in a number of employment opportunities associated with the operation of the wedding venue which will benefit the local economy. As such are acceptable in terms of impacts on the rural economy in accordance with Policy SP13C of the Selby District Core Strategy and paragraphs 83 and 84 of the NPPF.
- 4.52. It is noted that a wide range of support letters have been received in relation to the proposed development.

5. Conclusion

5.1. This type of conversion of existing rural buildings to business use is acceptable in principle in the NPPF and in development plan policy. Though it is noted that the proposal would conflict with criteria 1 and 2 of Policy EMP8 of the Core Strategy. It is considered that the NPPF is a material consideration and in line with Paragraph 83 and 84 of the NPPF relating to the further reuse of the building and the diversification of agricultural business and the recognition of business and community needs in rural areas would be acceptable.

- 5.2. The works are appropriate to the agricultural buildings in terms of improved design, new openings and all other alterations.
- 5.3. Furthermore, the proposed development is considered to propose a wide variety of economic benefits associated with the proposed wedding venue, as set out in the evidence submitted within this application. Therefore, in considering the proposals, the improved design and economic benefits to the rural community and economy are considered to be material considerations which outweigh any conflicts with criteria 1 and 2 of Policy EMP8.
- 5.4. Thus, subject to the recommended conditions set out below, this application complies with the up to date Framework and principally with SDLP Policy EMP8 and compliance with the conditions would create a scheme in compliance with the development plan.

6.0 Recommendation

This application is recommended to be APPROVED subject to a Unilateral Undertaking and the following conditions:

01. The development for which permission is hereby granted shall be begun within a period of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason:

In order to comply with the provisions of Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 02. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the plans/drawings listed below:
 - Topographical Survey 10500 01
 - Existing Elevations 10500 02
 - Existing Ground Floor Plan 10500 03
 - Existing First Floor Plan 10500 04
 - Location and Block Plans 2738-05-02B
 - Existing Block Plan- 2738-05-01C
 - Proposed Lynchgate 2738-08-01
 - Proposed Venue Building, Plans and Elevations 2738-03-01F
 - Proposed Guest Accommodation Building, Plans and Elevations 2738-07-01A DIMS
 - Proposed Site Layout Block Plan 2738-06-01D
 - Proposed Scheme of Landscaping 2738-06-04C
 - Proposed Surface Water Drainage Plan 2738-06-02C
 - Proposed Foul Drainage Plan 2738-06-03C
 - Foul Drainage Assessment Form (FDA1)
 - Flood Risk Assessment
 - SUDs Statement
 - Remediation Strategy
 - Indicative Management Plan, Proposed Wedding Venue

Reason:

For the avoidance of doubt.

03. The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the proposed development hereby permitted shall be as stated on the drawing No. 2738-03-01F – Proposed Plans and Elevations, the application form and the Design and Access Statement submitted and only the approved materials shall be utilised unless otherwise approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

In the interests of visual amenity and in order to comply with Policy ENV1 of the Selby District Local Plan.

04. The development hereby approved shall be used for a Wedding Venue and associated facilities only. The accommodation shall only be occupied in connection with the wedding venue hereby approved and not sold off separately.

Reason:

To avoid the establishment of permanent residential accommodation outside the development limits of Ryther; to comply with the terms of the application as submitted; and to comply with Policy EMP8 of the Selby District Core Strategy

05. The development hereby approved shall not be sold off separately to the two residential dwellings, Far Farm and Ryden house, within the redline boundary of the site, shall not be sold off separately to the planning unit.

Reason:

To avoid the establishment of permanent residential accommodation outside the development limits of Ryther; to comply with the terms of the application as submitted; and to comply with Policy EMP8 of the Selby District Core Strategy...

- 06. The development shall not be brought into use until the access(es) to the site have been set out and constructed in accordance with the published Specification of the Highway Authority and the following requirements:
 - a) The existing access adjoining the highway shall be improved (constructed) and that part of the access road extending 6 metres into the site details and/or Standard Detail number A1.
 - b) Provision to prevent surface water from the site/plot discharging onto the existing proposed highway and shall be maintained thereafter to prevent such discharges

Reason:

In accordance with policy T1 and T2 of the Selby Local Plan in the interests of highway safety and the general amenity of the area.

07. Prior to first use a Scheme for the provision of surface water and foul water drainage works shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority. This should include details of, discharge rates, evidence of existing surface water and foul water discharge and details of soakaways. Any such Scheme shall be implemented to the reasonable satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority before the development is brought into use.

Reason:

To ensure the development is provided with satisfactory means of drainage and to reduce the risk of flooding.

- 08. The development must be carried out in full accordance with the mitigation and compensation section contained within the following documents submitted:
 - Bat Emergence Survey Report
 - Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report
 - Himalayan Balsam Management Plan

Reason:

In the interests on nature conservation interest and the protection of protected species and in order to comply with Policy ENV1(5) of the Selby District Local Plan, Policy SP18 of the Core Strategy, The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010.

09. In the event that contamination is found at any time when carrying out the approved development that was not previously identified, it must be reported in writing immediately to the Local Planning Authority. An investigation and risk assessment must be undertaken and where remediation is necessary a remediation scheme must be prepared, which is subject to the approval in writing of the Local Planning Authority. Following completion of measures identified in the approved remediation scheme a verification report must be prepared, which is subject to the approved remediation scheme a verification report must be prepared, which is subject to the approved in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason:

To ensure that risks from land contamination to the future users of the land and neighbouring land are minimised, together with those to controlled waters, property and ecological systems, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors.

INFORMATIVES:

01. INFORMATIVE:

The Local Planning Authority worked positively and proactively with the applicant to identify various solutions during the application process to ensure that the proposal comprised sustainable development and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area and would accord with the development plan. These were incorporated into the scheme and/or have been secured by planning condition. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the NPPF.

02. HIGHWAYS:

You are advised that a separate licence will be required from the Highway Authority in order to allow any works in the adopted highway to be carried out. The 'Specification for Housing and Industrial Estate Roads and Private Street Works' published by North Yorkshire County Council, the Highway Authority, is available at the County Council's offices. The local office of the Highway Authority will also be pleased to provide the detailed constructional specification referred to in this condition.

03. COAL:

The proposed development lies within a coal mining area which may contain unrecorded coal mining related hazards. If any coal mining feature is encountered during development, this should be reported immediately to the Coal Authority on 0345 762 6848.

Further information is also available on the Coal Authority website at: www.gov.uk/government/organisations/the-coal-authority

04. BIRD NESTING:

All nesting birds receive general protection under the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981. It is advisable to undertake demolition of buildings, tree removal or clearance of dense vegetation outside the bird nesting season (March to August inclusive for most species), or after a competent person has confirmed that no active nests are present.

3.1 Legal Issues

3.1.1 Planning Acts

This application has been determined in accordance with the relevant planning acts.

3.1.2 Human Rights Act 1998

It is considered that a decision made in accordance with this recommendation would not result in any breach of convention rights.

3.1.3 Equality Act 2010

This application has been determined with regard to the Council's duties and obligations under the Equality Act 2010. However it is considered that the recommendation made in this report is proportionate taking into account the conflicting matters of the public and private interest so that there is no violation of those rights.

3.2 Financial Issues

3.2.1 Financial issues are not material to the determination of this application.

4. Conclusion

4.1 As stated in the main body of the report.

5. Background Documents

5.1 Planning Application file reference 2019/0110/COU and associated documents.

Contact Officer: Mr M Grainger. Head of Planning mgrainger@selby.gov.uk

Appendices:

Appendix 1 – July Planning Committee Report (2019/0110/COU) Appendix 2 – October Planning Committee Report (2019/0110/COU)